Differences in Insulin Resistance in Mexican and U.S. Pima Indians with Normal Glucose Tolerance
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Context: Insulin resistance is a major risk factor for the development of type 2 diabetes in Pima Indians, a population with the highest prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the world. Their Mexican counterpart, living a traditional lifestyle in the mountains of Sonora, have at least 5 times less diabetes than the U.S. Pima Indians.

Objective: We evaluated whether Mexican Pima Indians had lower insulin resistance than U.S. Pima Indians.

Design and Patients: We compared fasting insulin and homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) in 194 Mexican Pima Indians (100 females, 94 males) and 449 U.S. Pima Indians (246 females, 203 males) with normal glucose tolerance from a cross-sectional study. Adjusted differences of log-transformed outcomes (fasting insulin and HOMA-IR) between groups were evaluated using multiple linear regression models and paired t test in a matched subset.

Results: Unadjusted fasting insulin and HOMA-IR were much lower in the Mexican Pima Indians than in their U.S. counterparts. After adjusting by obesity, age, and sex, mean (95% confidence interval) for fasting insulin was 6.22 (5.34–7.24) vs. 13.56 μU/ml (12.27–14.97) and for HOMA-IR 1.40 (1.20–1.64) vs. 3.07 (2.77–3.40), respectively, for Mexican Pima and U.S. Pima Indians. Results were confirmed in subset matched for age, sex, and body fat.

Conclusion: Our results indicate that Mexican Pima Indians have lower insulin resistance in comparison with their genetically related U.S. counterparts, even after controlling for differences in obesity, age, and sex. This finding underscores the importance of lifestyle factors as protecting factors against insulin resistance in individuals with a high propensity to develop diabetes. (J Clin Endocrinol Metab 95: E358–E362, 2010)
Mexican Pima Indians, a population living a traditional lifestyle in the mountains of northwestern Mexico, have a much higher physical activity (6,7) and a diet lower in fat and higher in fiber and complex carbohydrates (6) in comparison with the U.S. Pima. Mexican Pima Indians also have an age- and sex-standardized prevalence of type 2 diabetes at least 5 times lower than that U.S. Pima Indians (6). Differences in type 2 diabetes in these two genetically related populations (8) have been attributed largely to differences in body weight and body fat, probably due to differences in diet and physical activity (6). Although insulin resistance has been widely studied in the U.S. Pima Indians (2,3), information is lacking in Mexican Pima Indians.

The aim of the present work was to investigate whether Mexican Pima Indians have a lower insulin resistance, evaluated by fasting insulin and homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), than U.S. Pima Indians.

**Patients and Methods**

**Subjects**

The Mexican Pima study have been previously described (6,7). Briefly, residents of Maycoba, Sonora, Mexico, and the surrounding areas were invited to participate in a health examination at our research clinic in the village of El Kipor, 10 km east of Maycoba.

Only subjects with normal glucose tolerance were included in this analysis. The Mexican Pima sample consisted of all subjects 20 yr of age and older, who took part in a population-based cross-sectional study aimed at measuring the prevalence of type 2 diabetes and obesity in the year 1996. A total of 224 Mexican Pima Indians of both sexes participated in the study of whom 194 had normal glucose tolerance. The study was approved by the ethics committees of the University of Milwaukuee and the Centro de Investigacion en Alimentacion y Desarrollo, Asociacion Civil. All subjects gave written informed consent before participation.

Since 1965, a longitudinal study of type 2 diabetes and its complications has been conducted in the Gila River Indian Community. Approximately every 2 yr, each resident of this community who is at least 5 yr old is invited to participate in examinations (4,6). Based on this ongoing epidemiological study, a sample of 887 U.S. Pima Indians were selected for comparison with the Mexican Pima Indians regarding prevalence of type 2 diabetes and obesity (6). Thus, U.S. Pima participants who were 20 y old or older were selected as having been examined during a similar time period as in the Mexican Pima study (6). For the current analysis, all subjects with normal glucose tolerance (n = 449) from the U.S. Pima Indians sample (n = 887) were included. In addition, a subset of Mexican and U.S. Pima Indians was selected, pair matched on the basis of age, sex, and percent body fat (n = 79 pairs).

**Biochemical measures**

Oral glucose tolerance tests were performed using a 75-g glucose load after 10–12 h fasting according to World Health Organization recommendations (9). Biochemical measures were in serum for fasting and 2–h after glucose for concentrations of glucose, insulin, triglycerides, and total and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. All measures followed the same protocol as those in the U.S. Pima cohort study; biochemical analyses were conducted at the Phoenix Epidemiology and Clinical Research Branch. Plasma glucose concentrations were measured with an autoanalyzer using a glucose hexokinase (Ciba Corning Express, Norwood, MA). Type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance were defined according to the World Health Organization criteria (9), but only persons with normal glucose tolerance (fasting plasma glucose <126 mg/dl and 2 h plasma glucose <140 mg/dl) were included in the present analysis. Plasma insulin concentrations were determined using an automated RIA analyzer (Concept 4; INC Biomedicals, Horsham, PA). The response variables were insulin resistance measured by fasting insulin (microunits per milliliter) and HOMA-IR [(fasting Insulin (microunits per milliliter) × fasting glucose (millimoles per liter))/22.5 (10)]. Triglycerides and total and HDL cholesterol were measured by enzymatic methods (11–13).

**Physical measures**

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by the square of height in meters. Central obesity was assessed by waist circumference in centimeters. Percent body fat was estimated from bioelectrical impedance (BIA-103; RJL Systems, Detroit, MI) using an equation developed for the U.S. Pima Indians (14). Blood pressure was measured to the nearest 2 mm Hg with a mercury sphygmomaneter (desk model mercury sphygmomaneter, model 100; Liberator Medical Supply, Stuart, FL) in the right arm while the subjects rested in a sitting position. Diastolic blood pressure was measured at the fourth Korotkoff sound. Physical activity was assessed by a questionnaire (6,7).

**Statistical analysis**

Differences in physical and biochemical characteristics between Mexican and U.S. Pima Indians were tested by independent t-test. Variables with skewed distribution were log transformed before analysis. We used multiple linear regressions to assess differences between groups in fasting insulin and HOMA-IR in separate models. Multivariate models were constructed by the stepwise method in its forward option using a P = 0.05. In addition, preliminary models were evaluated for possible interaction. The final models were tested for linear regression assumptions. In the pair-matched subset, differences in insulin resistance were analyzed by paired t-test. All analyses were performed using STATA software (version 8.0; Stata Corp, College Station, TX) and P = 0.05 and P = 0.1 were used to test significance of selected covariates and their interaction terms.

**Results**

The study population consisted of 194 Mexican Pima Indians (94 men and 100 women) and 449 U.S. Pima Indians (203 men and 246 women) with normal glucose tolerance. As shown in Table 1, physical and biochemical characteristics were compared between Mexican and U.S. Pima Indians. Mexican Pima Indians were older and had higher
physical activity \((P < 0.0001)\) but had lower BMI, percent body fat, and waist circumference values than the U.S. Pima Indians \((P < 0.0001)\). Fasting and 2-h glucose as well as 2-h insulin and HDL cholesterol levels were also significantly lower in the Mexican Pima Indians vs. U.S. Pimas \((P < 0.0001)\). There were no differences in total cholesterol, triglycerides, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure \((P > 0.05)\).

Results of the association between insulin resistance and group (U.S. Pima vs. Mexican Pima Indians) are presented in Fig. 1 as exponentiated means and 95% confidence interval (CI). The unadjusted analysis indicates that Mexican Pima Indians have lower mean fasting insulin \((4.17, 95\% \text{ CI } 3.64–4.77 \text{ vs. } 16.35, 95\% \text{ CI } 14.87–17.97 \mu \text{U/ml})\) and HOMA-IR \((0.91, 95\% \text{ CI } 0.79–1.05 \text{ vs. } 3.73, 95\% \text{ CI } 3.38–4.11)\) than U.S. Pima Indians. Differences between groups remained significant either after adjustment for age, sex \((\text{fasting insulin: } \beta = 1.339, 95\% \text{ CI } 1.172–1.506)\) and HOMA-IR \((\beta = 1.387, 95\% \text{ CI } 1.216–1.559)\) or in a full model including BMI and waist circumference in addition to age and sex \((\text{fasting insulin: } \beta = 0.779, 95\% \text{ CI } 0.579–0.979)\) and HOMA-IR \((\beta = 0.785, 95\% \text{ CI } 0.581–0.989)\), taking the Mexican group as the reference. In the pair-matched subset \((n = 79)\), large differences were confirmed \([\text{fasting insulin: } 3.99, 95\% \text{ CI } 3.14–5.08 \text{ vs. } 9.25, 95\% \text{ CI } 7.36–11.63 \mu \text{U/ml}]\) and HOMA-IR: 0.89 \((95\% \text{ CI } 0.70–1.14)\) vs. 2.02, \((95\% \text{ CI } 1.60–2.56)\). Moreover, even though U.S. Pima Indians had similar age \((31.18 \text{ vs. } 30.99 \text{ yr})\), body fat \((31.15 \text{ vs. } 31.24\%)\), and sex than Mexican Pimas, the groups differed considerably in physical activity expressed as metabolic equivalent hours per week \((\text{U.S. Pima: } 46.16, 95\% \text{ CI } 32.22–66.14 \text{ vs. } \text{Mexican Pima: } 133.93, 95\% \text{ CI } 113.70–152.40, P < 0.0001)\).

**Discussion**

Because U.S. Pima Indians have a marked increased prevalence of type 2 diabetes compared with their closely geo-
netically related Mexican Pima group (6), we hypothesized that insulin resistance would be lower in the Mexican Pima Indians in comparison with that in the U.S. Pima Indians. Results of the present analysis showed that Mexican Pima Indians are less insulin resistant than their counterpart U.S. Pima Indians, even after adjusting for age, sex, and obesity. Furthermore, this finding was supported by the more than 2-fold difference in insulin resistance found using the pair-matched subset.

Part of the differences in insulin resistance between groups seems to be explained by the greater degree of obesity in the U.S. Pima Indians. The difference in insulin resistance between groups, although still significant, was reduced by about 40% after adjusting for obesity. With respect to fasting insulin, the adjusted predicted mean difference between group populations was 7.34 μU/ml (unadjusted mean difference 12.19 μU/ml), whereas that for HOMA-IR was 1.67 (unadjusted mean difference 2.82). Similarly, Dowse et al. (15) compared fasting insulin in different Asian populations and found that differences in insulin resistance between groups, although still significant, decreased considerably after controlling for total and central obesity as compared with that seen when controlling only for age. Furthermore and consistent with this observation, different studies have reported that obesity is one of the most important factors leading to insulin resistance (16).

Increasing age and female sex were also significantly associated with lower insulin resistance in the entire population. However, these two variables explained only a small amount of the difference in insulin resistance between both Pima groups. The adjusted coefficient value and the adjusted predicted mean difference between groups were attenuated by only 1.5% after adjusting for age and sex, a value much lower than the 40% difference after adjustment for obesity. Both age and sex, however, are nonmodifiable risk factors and inconsistent results have been found regarding their association with insulin resistance. In accord with our findings, an inverse association was shown between age and insulin concentration in both nondiabetic U.S. Pima Indians and Indians from Mauritius (17). However, no association between fasting insulin and age was found in a Native Canadian population (18).

Regarding the association between sex and insulin resistance, Hunt et al. (19) found lower fasting insulin concentrations in nondiabetic women in comparison with that in nondiabetic men but similar values in nondiabetic subjects with the metabolic syndrome. Conversely, Dowse et al. (15) showed that fasting insulin level was higher in women than that in men.

Physical activity was associated to insulin resistance in nondiabetic U.S. Pima Indians and Indians from Mauritius. Accordingly, the increased physical activity in Mexican Pima than in U.S. Pima Indians, even in those of similar age, body fat, and sex may also explain part of the differences in insulin resistance.

The main strength of this study is the fact that we are comparing two genetically related populations living with naturally contrasting lifestyles. Additional strengths are the facts that all the analysis followed similar measurement protocols in a central laboratory. Nevertheless, our study is limited by the cross-sectional nature of the data, which do not allow the demonstration any of temporal effect of the factors studied on risk of insulin resistance.

In conclusion, our results indicate that Mexican Pima Indians with normal glucose tolerance have lower insulin resistance in comparison with their genetically related counterparts U.S. Pima Indians, even after controlling for differences in obesity, age, and sex. This finding underscores the importance of lifestyle factors as direct protecting factors against insulin resistance in nondiabetic populations.
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